

memory locations having a unique address associated therewith, said recognition grid comprising:

a<sup>1</sup> an array of at least one of said unique addresses of said plurality of memory locations;

and

a template which is used to select said at least one of said unique addresses of said plurality of memory locations.

**Claim 7 (New)**

The recognition grid of Claim 3 wherein said template is a physical template.

a<sup>2</sup> **Claim 8 (New)**

The recognition grid of Claim 3 wherein said template is a virtual template.

**Remarks**

The Examiner has rejected Claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 101 and has stated that Claim 3 is merely data with no function. Claim 3 has been amended to include the limitation of "a template which is used to select said at least one of said unique addresses of said plurality of memory locations". This limitation is important because Applicant has clarified Claim 3 by adding functionality to the claim. Furthermore, the abovementioned Claim 3 limitation also overcomes the Examiner's 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph rejections of: being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention; and being unclear. Particularly, the added limitation of a template distinctly claims the subject matter of the invention. For these reasons, Applicant's undersigned attorney respectfully asserts that Claim 3 is in condition for allowance, such allowance is therefore respectfully requested.